

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*63C8*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/991,855 12/16/97 KII

T 1083.1046/JD

<input type="checkbox"/>	WM31/1121	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

EXAMINER

STAAS & HALSEY LLP  
700 ELEVENTH STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20001

POON, K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

*10*

DATE MAILED:

11/21/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08991855

14/16/97

R11

T 1083.1046/51

## EXAMINER

Pon K.

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624 10

## DATE MAILED:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jon Muskin (3) \_\_\_\_\_(2) King Y. Poon (4) \_\_\_\_\_Date of Interview 11/14/2000Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all intended claimsIdentification of prior art discussed: Peters et al. (5893098)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discussed Peter's invention and pointed out the difference between Peter and the invention. Clarified the provision of fix form reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form:

King Y. Poon11/14/2000